October 24, 2011

Robert Kyr
University Senate President
School of Music
University of Oregon

Dear Robert

On behalf of the Campus Planning Committee, I am sending you a copy of the 2010-2011 Campus Planning Committee Annual Report. In the course of this past year, the Campus Planning Committee has accomplished a significant amount of work, as is evident in the attached report.

I would be happy to arrange a time for the 2010-2011 Campus Planning Committee chair, Gregg Lobisser, to make a short presentation to the University Senate about the Campus Planning Committee’s actions and upcoming projects. If you wish me to do so, please contact me at x5572 about possible agenda times.

Please feel free to contact Gregg or me if you have additional questions.

Sincerely,

Christine Thompson
Planning Associate

cc: Frances Dyke, Finance and Administration
    James Fox, Special Collections and University Archives
    Richard Lariviere, University President
    Gregg Lobisser, EMU (CPC Chair)
    Jamie Moffitt, Intercollegiate Athletics
Campus Planning Committee Annual Report
2010-2011

Members:

2010-11 Campus Planning Committee Members – Updated 06/20/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>E-Mail Address</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gregg Lobisser, chair</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lobisser@uoregon.edu">lobisser@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.Z. Brown</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gzbrown@uoregon.edu">gzbrown@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillip Carroll</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pcarroll@uoregon.edu">pcarroll@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Classified (Campus Ops)</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carole Daly</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cdaly@uoregon.edu">cdaly@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>VP Development</td>
<td>ex officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Driscoll</td>
<td><a href="mailto:driscoll@uoregon.edu">driscoll@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Dyke</td>
<td><a href="mailto:vpfa@uoregon.edu">vpfa@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>VPFA</td>
<td>ex officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Harris</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cio@uoregon.edu">cio@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Info Services</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Hecht</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ghecht@uoregon.edu">ghecht@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Campus Operations</td>
<td>ex officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Hite</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hite.jackson@gmail.com">hite.jackson@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2010-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11Dana Johnston</td>
<td><a href="mailto:adjohn@uoregon.edu">adjohn@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Jones</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ejones@uoregon.edu">ejones@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Linton</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rlinton@uoregon.edu">rlinton@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>V P Res. &amp; Grad</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Livelybrooks</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dlivelyb@uoregon.edu">dlivelyb@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberta Mann</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rfmann@uoregon.edu">rfmann@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicora Martin</td>
<td><a href="mailto:chicora@uoregon.edu">chicora@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student Life</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex McCafferty</td>
<td><a href="mailto:amccaffe@uoregon.edu">amccaffe@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student (Political Science)</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Howard</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nhoward@uoregon.edu">nhoward@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student (PPPM)</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Promes</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mpromes@uoregon.edu">mpromes@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student (Architecture)</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrzej Proskurowski</td>
<td><a href="mailto:andrzej@uoregon.edu">andrzej@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Computer &amp; Info Science</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Rahn</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rahn@uoregon.edu">rahn@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Student (Geography)</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Ramey</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cramey@uoregon.edu">cramey@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>CPRE</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Rikhoff</td>
<td><a href="mailto:grikhiff@uoregon.edu">grikhiff@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>VP University Relations</td>
<td>ex-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>officio</td>
<td><a href="mailto:selker@uoregon.edu">selker@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Sirois</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sirois@uoregon.edu">sirois@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Disability Services</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Southwell</td>
<td><a href="mailto:psouth@uoregon.edu">psouth@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>Poli Sci (Univ Senate)</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Thallon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thallon@uoregon.edu">thallon@uoregon.edu</a></td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>ex-officio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff:

Christine Thompson cthomps@uoregon.edu CPRE

Meetings and Activities:

Since fall 2010, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and its two subcommittees have held fifteen meetings and forwarded thirteen recommendations to Administration. The committee reviewed numerous schematic designs for campus development projects. Also, it held many meetings to discuss and review the Oregon Model for Sustainable Development Campus Plan amendment.

CPC members participated in the architect selection process for multiple development projects and served on numerous project user groups. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about a wide range of complex campus planning and development issues.
Summary of Action Taken:

11/15/10  CPC  Agenda:  
          Action:  
          Approved:  
          Status:  
          **Campus Planning Committee Orientation**  
          No formal action was required for this agenda item  
          NA

11/15/10  DPIT  Agenda:  
          Action:  
          Approved:  
          Status:  
          **LTD University Transit Station Reconfiguration – Schematic Design**  
          The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed LTD University Transit Station Reconfiguration is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved (with the understanding that a portion of the project is not under university ownership), subject to the following considerations:

1. If possible, keep all street vendors within the public right-of-way. If vendor locations are proposed on university lands, ensure that applicable city codes and legal issues are carefully addressed.

2. Carefully consider how to enhance the exposed façade of Chiles Hall (e.g., install a new planter, integrate artwork, locate information boards as a buffer, etc.).

3. Ensure that the proposed bike parking does not lead to unsafe bike/pedestrian interactions. Carefully consider ways to maintain a safe pedestrian environment (e.g., do not locate bike parking in the middle of major pedestrian zones).

4. Ensure that the design of the stations and other built elements relates to the campus character (e.g., brick, green finishes, UO logo?) yet is still open and transparent.

5. Make every effort to plant large-canopy trees in locations designed to accommodate healthy tree growth.

Frances Dyke  
The project will move forward taking into consideration the subcommittee’s comments.

01/22/10  DR  Agenda:  
          Action:  
          Approved:  
          Status:  
          **Miller Theatre Complex Artwork – Siting**  
          The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed siting is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

Make adjustments to ensure that the north/south pedestrian pathway is both visually clear and functional for all users. More specifically, maintain a clear axial view of the Dads’ Gates pedestrian entrance as well as an accessible path that is navigable by pedestrians with disabilities.

Possible solutions might include removing or moving some poles, shifting the artwork to the east so the pedestrian opening is centered on the pathway, using textured paving to clarify the location of the accessible path, and/or shifting the focus of the artwork to the east/west pathway by moving a portion of the art work to the upper landing.

Work with CPRE staff and Disabilities Services CPC member representative (Molly Sirois) to resolve.
Approved: Frances Dyke  
Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

Agenda: Millrace Studio Mechanical Equipment Improvement Project – Schematic Design  
Action: The subcommittee agreed with seven in favor and one abstention, that the proposed schematic design is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

   Round stainless steel ductwork is acceptable with the understanding that the Art Department will be asked to consider ways to enhance the industrial and sculptural character of the ductwork. If the Art Department is interested in pursuing an integrated art project that results in modifications to the ductwork finish or shape, the project will come back to the Design Review Subcommittee for review.

Approved: Frances Dyke  
Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

Agenda: Anstett Hall and Gilbert Plaza Signage – Schematic Design  
Action: The subcommittee agreed with six in favor and one opposed that the proposed schematic design is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Approved: France Dyke  
Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

02/10/11 DPIT Agenda: Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) – Preliminary Discussion  
Action: No formal action was requested. The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review.

Approved: NA  
Status: The project will move forward taking into consideration the subcommittee’s comments.

02/24/11 CPC Agenda: Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) – Preliminary Discussion  
Action: No formal action was requested. The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review.

Approved: NA  
Status: The project will move forward taking into consideration the committee’s comments.
02/24/10 DR Agenda: **PE and REC Synthetic Fields Project – Schematic Design**

Action: The Design Review Subcommittee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the PE and REC Synthetic Fields Project is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Ensure that the new lights are fully effective cut-off fixtures (that ambient light does not impact residential neighborhoods) using field #2 as a basis for the desired goal. Work with Greg Rikhoff to address community relations.
2. Consider opportunities and funding sources to improve the fenced street edge. In particular, reconsider ways to replace all or a portion of the chain-link fence with the campus standard design.

Approved: Frances Dyke

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

03/08/11 CPC Agenda: **Student Recreation Center Expansion and Renovation Project – Meeting One**

Action: The committee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president the following actions related to the Student Recreation Center Expansion and Renovation Project:

A. Support of the identified *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:
   1. Consider the future of Mac Court when determining how to meet programmatic needs and when designing and siting the proposed addition.
   2. Recognize the importance of Policy 8: Universal Access.
   4. Give serious consideration to Policy 10: Sustainable Development. Use this project as a test case for implementing the proposed Oregon Model for Sustainable Development policy (e.g., integrate educational components, consider alternate energy sources, remodel existing spaces to compensate for additional energy use).
   5. Enhance the existing pathway that bisects the block to provide a safe environment for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Thoughtfully consider the appropriate use of the pathway to determine appropriate enhancements.
   6. Thoughtfully address the new *Campus Plan* pattern Welcoming to All, recognizing that the SRC is a facility open to all.
   7. Carefully coordinate bike parking needs with the EMU and overall campus needs. Also consider the potential to provide space for the proposed Bike Share program.
B. Support of the identified user group representation for the project with the understanding that the following condition will be addressed as the project moves forward:

Project staff and the user group chair will determine how to best increase faculty representation.

Approved: Frances Dyke

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

Agenda: Erb Memorial Union Expansion and Renovation Project – Meeting One

Action: The committee unanimously agreed to recommended to the president the following actions related to the Erb Memorial Union Expansion and Renovation Project:

A. Support of the identified Campus Plan patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:

1. Recognize the importance of Policy 8: Universal Access.
3. Give serious consideration to Policy 10: Sustainable Development. Use this project as a test case for implementing the proposed Oregon Model for Sustainable Development policy (e.g., integrate educational components, consider alternate energy sources, remodel existing spaces to compensate for additional energy use).
4. Thoughtfully address the new Campus Plan pattern Welcoming to All, recognizing that the EMU is a facility open to all.
5. Carefully coordinate bike parking needs with the SRC and overall campus needs. Also consider the potential to provide space for the proposed Bike Share program.
6. Make every effort to coordinate functions and proposed uses with other departments and related projects (Mac Court and SRC).
7. Determine how to address potential conflicts with desired uses and consider the resulting overall building size.
8. Look into options to provide a faculty lounge/dining/gathering space to facilitate interdepartmental collaborations.
9. Consider ways to take advantage of and enhance Straub Hall Green when looking for ways to address project goals (e.g., improve connections to the Student Recreation Center, enhance sustainable stormwater measures).
10. Retain and enhance promenade access through the site 24/7. However, consider adjustments to the promenade location if it helps to enhance the building design (e.g., South-facing Outdoors, Flexible Use).
11. Enhance pedestrian access within the entire quadrant on all sides of the building (to and through the area).
12. Resolve existing landscaping issues whenever possible (e.g., poor condition of University Street Red Oaks due to
compaction, bike parking, and numerous cut-through paths).

13. Identify and address all associated needs with the proposed new uses, especially the 1200 seat facility, conference enhancements, and pub (e.g., parking, service access, etc). Be sure to address residential/lodging needs and related transportation issues associated with the proposed enhanced convention center uses.

14. Recognize that the Campus Plan does not support parking in the campus core.
Minimize vehicular parking on site (focus on off-site options with shuttles). The proposed 250 additional parking spaces is a large number that needs to be carefully considered. If a proposed solution requires an amendment to the Campus Plan, thoughtfully consider other options before bringing it back to the Campus Planning Committee.

15. Maintain and enhance adequate visitor parking and loading/drop off spaces for existing EMU and campus uses (not related to new uses) in appropriate locations. Pay particular attention to the EMU parking lot.

16. Resolve parking traffic flow. Ensure safe access for bicyclists.

17. Look into ways to provide water spigots at drinking fountains to promote use of reusable water bottles.

18. Carefully consider ways to provide good daylighting for general student gathering spaces (e.g., to replace the Skylight area) and for student group offices.

B. Support of the identified user group representation for the project with the understanding that the following condition will be addressed as the project moves forward:

   Project staff and the user group chair will determine how to best address the desire for an additional faculty member.

Approved: Frances Dyke
Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

03/31/11 DPIT Agenda: Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) – Continued Discussion
Action: No formal action was requested. The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review.
Approved: NA
Status: The project will move forward taking into consideration the subcommittee’s comments.

04/11/11 DR Agenda: Onyx Bridge Façade Integrated Technologies (FIT) Testing Facility Expansion Project – Schematic Design
Action: The Design Review Subcommittee agreed, with seven in favor and one abstention, to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Onyx Bridge Façade Integrated
Technologies (FIT) Testing Facility Expansion Project is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Install safety glass in the window that will be modified to serve as balcony access.
2. Verify that the balcony guardrail meets code requirements.

**Approved:** Frances Dyke  
**Status:** The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

**Agenda:** Allen Hall and Friendly Hall Dumpster Enclosure – Follow up Schematic Design  
**Action:** The Design Review Subcommittee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president that the revised schematic design for the Allen Hall and Friendly Hall Dumpster Enclosure is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and that it be approved subject to the following condition: Reassess the roof design to minimize its visual impact. In particular, consider a thinner profile and a lower roof height (and consequently the wall height).

**Approved:** Frances Dyke  
**Status:** The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

---

**04/26/11 DPIT**  
**Agenda:** Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) – Preliminary Study Results and Proposal Refinement  
**Action:** No formal action was requested. The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review (including full Campus Planning Committee review).

**Approved:** NA  
**Status:** The project will move forward taking into consideration the subcommittee’s comments.

---

**05/05/11 DPIT**  
**Agenda:** Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) – Proposal Refinement: Continued Discussion and Review  
**Action:** No formal action was requested. The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review (including full Campus Planning Committee review).

**Approved:** NA  
**Status:** The project will move forward taking into consideration the subcommittee’s comments.
05/11/11 CPC Agenda: Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) Refined Policy — discussion and review

Action: No formal action was requested. The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review.

Approved: NA

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s comments.

05/11/11 DR Agenda: Fenton Hall South Entry Steps – Schematic Design

Action: The Design Review Subcommittee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Fenton Hall South Entry Steps is consistent with the Campus Plan and that it be approved subject to the following condition: Install campus standard benches on the upper landing as appropriate and when funds become available.

Approved: Frances Dyke

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.

05/26/11 CPC Agenda: Oregon Model for Sustainable Development (Sustainable Development Plan Update) Refined Policy — Public Hearing and Action

Action: The committee agreed, with eleven in favor and one opposed, to recommend to the president that the Campus Plan amendments related to the Oregon Model for Sustainable Development be approved subject to the following condition:

1. Incorporate the policy changes that were requested by the committee as described in the meeting record.

Approved: Frances Dyke and Richard Lariviere

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s comments.

10/10/11 DR Agenda: North Campus Gallery Walk TalkaPhones – Schematic Design and Siting

Action: The Design Review Subcommittee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the schematic design and sitting for the North Campus Gallery Walk TalkaPhones Project is consistent with the Campus Plan and that it be approved. In addition, the Design Review Subcommittee made the following recommendation: Before considering installing TalkaPhones on the main campus, complete a more robust review of other options (e.g., building-mounted cameras and speakers).

Approved: Frances Dyke

Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s recommendation.
Agenda: North Campus Gallery Walk LiveMove Bike Racks – Schematic Design and Siting
Action: The Design Review Subcommittee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the North Campus Gallery Walk LiveMove Bike Racks is consistent with the Campus Plan and that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Ensure that the rack design is fully functional for all bike types and sizes.
2. Look into ways to increase the number of bike parking spaces even if some of the existing racks are reused to increase the number of total spaces.
3. Carefully consider whether wheel trays are needed. If so, reconsider the tray design to address potential wheel damage and maintenance issues.
4. Work with UO Exterior Team Supervisor to determine the best surface coating (thermal coating or powder coating).

Approved: Frances Dyke
Status: The project will move forward and address the committee’s conditions.